To:

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

FENSTER, Paul

Fenster & Company, Intellectual Property Ltd.
P. O. BOX 10256 49002 Petach Tikva ISRAËL

FILE NO. G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.

08 February 2007 (08.02.2007)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 330/04150

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000380

International filing date (day/month/year) 07 April 2005 (07.04.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year) 07 April 2004 (07.04.2004)

Applicant

BARNEV LTD. et al.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

> The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt09@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

See item 4 below

FOR FURTHER ACTION

Applicant's or agent's file reference 330/04150

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000380	International filing date (day/month/yell 07 April 2005 (07.04.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07 April 2004 (07.04.2004)
International Patent Classification (See relevant information in Form	8th edition unless older edition indicated) 1 PCT/ISA/237	
Applicant		
BARNEV LTD.		
This international preliminal International Searching Auth	ry report on patentability (Chapter I) is issumently under Rule 44 bis.1(a).	ued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
	total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet	
In the attached sheets, any reto the international prelimina	eference to the written opinion of the Interrary report on patentability (Chapter I) inste	national Searching Authority should be read as a reference ead.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ons relating to the following items:	
Box No. I	Basis of the report	
Box No. II	Priority	
Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with a applicability	regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 3: applicability; citations and explanations	5(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions supporting such statement
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international a	application
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the internati	ional application
4. The International Bureau will not, except where the applica date (Rule 44bis .2).	l communicate this report to designated Of nt makes an express request under Article :	fices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
		ssuance of this report ery 2007 (30.01.2007)
The International Bu	incan of MileO	ed officer
34, chemin des C 1211 Geneva 20,		Simin Baharlou
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 orm PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)	e-mail: p	t09@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTI	HORITY				
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. BOX 10256		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE			
PETACH TIKVA, 49002 ISRAEL		INTERNAT	IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
			04 DEC 2006		
	Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION		
330/04150			See paragraph 2 below		
International application No.	International filing date		Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/IL05/00380 International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both pational classifica		07 April 2004 (07.04.2004)		
IPC: A61B 5/00(2007.01),5/103(2 USPC: 600/304,551,588,591			01)		
Applicant					
BARNEV LTD.					
1. This opinion contains indications re	lating to the following iten	ns:			
Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inv	entive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of uni	ty of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned stapplicability	tatement under Rule 43bis y; citations and explanation	.1(a)(i) with regard as supporting such s	to novelty, inventive step or industrial		
Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited				
Box No. VII Certain defe	ects in the international ap	plication			
Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the internation	nal application			
2. FURTHER ACTION					
international Preliminary Examining	g Authority ("IPEA") ex he IPEA and the chosen I	cept that this does PEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an e International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) lered.		
If this opinion is, as provided above IPEA a written reply together, who mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or be For further options, see Form PCT/I	ere appropriate, with ame fore the expiration of 22 n	endments, before th	EA, the applicant is invited to submit to the expiration of 3 months from the date of ority date, whichever expires later.		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
		- C · L ·	A1		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ U. Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Paranta	S Date of complete opinion	ion or this	Authorized officer Robert I. Nasser J. Robert D.		
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	16 October 2006	5 (16.10.2006)	Robert L. Nasser 7: Robert 3 Telephone No. 571-272-3700		
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201		Telephone No. 571-272-3700		
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 20	05)				

International application No.	_
PCT/IL05/00380	

Box No	o. I Basis of this opinion		
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed		
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).		
2. With claime	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
a.	type of material		
	a sequence listing		
	table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b.	format of material		
	on paper		
	in electronic form		
c.	time of filing/furnishing		
	contained in the international application as filed.		
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.		
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
4. Additi	onal comments:		
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

International application No.

PCT/IL05/00380

box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
the entire international application
claims Nos. <u>135-138</u>
because:
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 135-138 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
Thse claims are not examined because they are omnibus claims and a meaningful opinion cannot be formed.
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b).
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
See Supplemental Box for further details.
TO DOT/IS A /227 (Day No. 111) (A it coors

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/IL05/00380

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-113,116-119,122-134 and 139-141	YES
		114,115,120 and 121	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-113,116-119,122-134 and 139-141	3700
		114,115,120 and 121	YES
Industrial applicability (IA)			
Industrial applicability (IA)		1-34 and 139-141 NONE	YES NO
2. Citations and explanations:			
Please See Continuation Sheet			
		•	

International application No. PCT/IL05/00380

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
V 2 Citations of Manager
V. 2. Citations and Explanations:  Claims 1-39 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest determining the specification discrete labor state from a plurality of position signals over a plurality of contractions, as claimed.
Claims 40-55 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest modifying a labor treatment based on a parameter of a representation of labor progression.
Claims 56-75 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest determining the change in magnitude of the position signal with a contraction.
Claims 76-82 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest modifying the dilation measurement, as claimed.
Claims 83-87 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest determining full dilation when the cervix moves with a predetermined motion.
Claims 88-91 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest determining the relative position of the fetal head, as claimed.
Claims 92-103 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest determining labor progress from geometric and non-geometric data.

Claims 114, 115, 120, and 121 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Paltieli. Paltieli teaches a method of Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)

determining fetal head deformation.

Claims 104-107 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the method for

International application No. PCT/IL05/00380

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

determing the degree of effacement of the cervix from positional information, which is measured manually during an intervention.

Claims 108-113 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the device for detecting the second stage of labor.

Claims 116-119 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest determining the particular parameters from positional information, as claimed.

Claims 122-125 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the filtering method recited.

Claims 126-134 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the pharmaceutical control method.

Claims 139-141 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the data presentation method claimed.

Claims 1-134 and 139-141 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.